

## Hearing Criteria

Proposals will be reviewed and scored by LSOHC members based on the following criteria. The scoring process will be used to help evaluate proposals and aid in the recommendation process. A score of 0 indicates low fulfillment of this criterion and a score of 10 indicates excellent fulfillment of this criterion. High scorers are not guaranteed funding and low scorers are not necessarily excluded from receiving funding.

<b>HEARING CRITERIA</b>	<b>Max Points</b>
1. Proposal “Abstract” and “Design & Scope of Work” summaries are both clearly written and adequately address the Who, What, When, Where, Why, and How.	10
2. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for fish, game, and wildlife, and/or threatened or endangered species.	10
3. The timing of this proposal is important and urgent.	10
4. Proposal will improve or expand corridors and/or complexes, and/or address habitat fragmentation.	10
5. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes and is likely to produce significant permanent conservation legacy and/or beneficial habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	10
6. Performance measures are clearly identified and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	10
7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	10
8. Proposal includes leverage.	10
9. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	10
10. Proposal seems reasonable in its size (full score) or seems inflated to compensate for potential of reduced funding (low score).	10
11. Proposal provides public access, use, and benefit.	10
<b>Maximum Points Possible</b>	<b>110</b>